

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,368	01/05/2004	Mark I. Stockman	10224.105001 US	2208	
20786 KING & SPAI	20786 7590 02/04/2008 KING & SPALDING LLP			EXAMINER	
1180 PEACHTREE STREET			GAKH, YELENA G		
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			179,7		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	10/751,368	STOCKMAN ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview Gamina	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.</u>	(3)	
(2) W. Scott Petty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2007	Time:	
	Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes N If Yes, provide a brief description:	· .	• .
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: pending		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the second content of the second con</li></ul>	ion. The examiner will provide a vocarate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
		11 - 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -
July Hale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner indicated that there was a misunderstanding of the examiner's written requirement for election of species, since the species were supposed to be elected from each group, rather than the whole group of species; the Applicants provided corrected election of species, which is reflected in the present Office action.